

Rebuttal submitted to Twitter

This is the article I posted that caused my account to be suspended:
<https://palexander.substack.com/p/warning-parents-in-gods-name-we-plead>

I recognize that this article expressed a harsh and vituperative tone in its admonishments of the regulators at the CDC and FDA for their reckless decision to recommend mRNA vaccines for children from six months old to five years old.

However, children have essentially a zero risk of dying from COVID-19, and the mRNA technology is still very poorly understood.

The article also contained some important science about the dangers of the mRNA vaccines, in language that parents can understand, and this is why I posted it. Parents need to be informed of the potential long-term health consequences to their children in order to make intelligent decisions about whether or not to vaccinate them against COVID-19.

Dr. Paul Alexander, the author of the post, is an Oxford-educated academic who, like me, understands that the mRNA vaccines may cause tremendous harm to those who receive them. The CDC and FDA are behaving irrationally when they decide that this technology is safe for children under five years old. The media are being irresponsible in suppressing valuable information from parents. The consequences to the next generation if this policy is widely adopted could be devastating.

I am one of four authors of a peer-reviewed journal paper where we discussed the science behind immune suppression by the mRNA vaccines.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S027869152200206X>

Children's immune system is still under development, and there are many unknowns regarding how these vaccines might lead to increased risk to autoimmunity, runaway infections, and cancer.

Here are some factual quotes from the article I posted:

- It is the COVID vaccine itself that is causing these variants to emerge, not the virus.
- The injection today induces non-neutralizing vaccinal antibodies (Abs) that do not sterilize the virus (non-neutralization) and as such does not stop infection, replication, or transmission.

- The damage to the innate immune system (iABs) is catastrophic and Vanden Bossche argues life-long.
- The vaccinal antibodies have a much greater affinity for the spike protein binding sites (e.g. receptor binding domain, N-terminal domain) and bind strongly, and as such outcompete the iABs for the binding sites.
- The iABs then cannot be trained and educated and thus cannot inform and instruct the innate immune system.
- Natural immunity (COVID exposed, infected, and recovered immunity) has always been extensively robust, optimal, superior, long-lasting and much better than the vaccinal immunity.

I hope you will reconsider my case, and, if so, I will be more careful in future posts to exclude articles where anger towards governmental authority is openly expressed.

Thank you for your consideration.

Stephanie Seneff