

16:42

5G



AF

Alyssa Farah @



Thu, Apr 28

I know you're busy, but is there a chance you've touched base with anyone re what we talked about tues night

15:22

Hey! Yes. So I reached out to Liz. She agreed to keep our convo totally confidential. She said she admires you, and could tell that you wanted to the right thing from your testimony. Her one concern was so long ad you have counsel, she can't really ethically talk to you without him. But what she suggests is a follow up with you, with your lawyer that she and Dan George can lead. Probably can do without other members and Tim

Does that help at all?

I can tell she really wants to work with you and is just try in t to figure out the right way to do it

15:24

I mean, do you think this is actually of interest to her at this point or is it moot

Like have they moved beyond it

15:26

Oh it's very much of interest. She basically just wants to structure it in a way you are comfortable and wants to make sure it's clear, if you speak to them again, it's them asking, not you, so you don't get in trouble



New Message





AF

Alyssa Farah @



gotten that far with

Mon, May 2

Does this make sense? 11:49

Yeah that all makes sense. I actually agree with Stefan's approach and think it's accomplished everyone's goals. I am happy to tip liz off. And for what it's worth I don't think you are close to front in line to testify live

But it might be worth asking for the commitment

My guess is Stefan cares about protecting trump. You're issues were more with meadows and folks around him. And you were simply being ho eat

Honest 11:52

Exactly. He doesn't want me to stonewall the committee now, over this. I think he wants to balance the perception in Trump world if I just go back voluntarily vs. under subpoena

But he's probably going to be a bit less friendly to DG when he talks to him. He prob won't outright tell him to re-subpoena me, so it may be helpful for DG to throw in (if they'd do that) "well if you don't voluntarily come in, we're likely reissue a subpoena"

I do think this is the best route for all



New Message





AF

Alyssa Farah @



Hmm ok. That was Mon, May 2 Liz's direction. How do you feel about just doing it with Stefan but answering more questions? You can handle yourself and he's just there as a figurehead

11:41

And basically give ultimatum of a) we'll come back but we need assurance she won't be called in live (which I don't think they'll give) OR b) if you won't give us an assurance, re-issue a subpoena

He's not against me complying. He's actually sort of willing to do it bc he thinks it builds my credibility as a witness. But he wants just enough from the committee to balance out the public backlash from the lawsuit and to frame me as super compliant/respectful of congressional subpoenas

He's going to call dan George this afternoon. Can you tip off Liz or Dan? And see their thoughts?

**Alyssa Farah**

Hmm ok. That was definitely at Liz's direction. How do you feel about just doing it with Stef...

I'm personally fine w just Liz and dan but I have a feeling he'll want it structurally the same as my other Depos. But I haven't gotten that far w him




New Message



16:20

5G

< LC Liz Cheney @



Mon, Jun 6

Hi, this is Cassidy Hutchinson. I'm sorry for reaching out this way, but I was hoping to

have a private conversation with you (soon), if you are willing.

11:15

I would be happy to. Let me know what time works for you.

11:50

I'm free all day for a call or in-person, whichever you prefer.

I really, really appreciate this.

11:59

Missed call · Jun 6 12:13

Call Back

Give me a call when good for you

12:14

Is now ok?

12:30

Can we aim for 1?

12:32

That works. I'm sorry I missed you.

12:33

Please call when you have a moment. I'm worried to initiate the call in case you're tied up with something.

13:03



New Message



16:20

5G

< LC Liz Cheney @



ued up with something.

13:03

Mon, Jun 6

Will call shortly - sorry got stuck on another call

13:14

You called Liz - Jun 6 13:17

Call Again

13:45

< Stefan Passantino @

Today


With DOJ refusing to hold Mark and Scavino in contempt and with the Committee talking about you to the press again, I don't see why we do anything more with them. There is a small element of risk to refusing to cooperate but I think it's the best move for you. Do you agree?

Did they reach out about a live hearing?

I don't want to gamble with being held in contempt, Stefan.

I'm sorry but I just don't think I can do it.

They have not.



Just FYSA 13:45

Can I give you a call tomorrow? 19:18

Of course.

Everything ok? 19:21

+ New Message

